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Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.
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- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## Bill Parks, Principal

- Principal, Birmingham Community Charter High


#### Abstract

About Our School

Welcome to the Birmingham Community Charter High School! We are an independent conversion charter high school located in the heart of the San Fernando Valley. As a Charter School we pride ourselves on having increased autonomy and governance. This allows our students and staff to perform at very high levels. Subsequently our stakeholders become more actively involved in the decision making for the total operation of our school and all of our programs.

We are pleased to announce some of our most recent accomplishments: - BCCHS had 93\% Graduation and 94\% Attendance rates in the past 2012-2013 school year! - BCCHS had the highest growth passage rates in the valley for the California High School Exit Exam (88\% in Math and 85\% in English for all sophomores.) - BCCHS had another significant increase in its Academic Performance Index (API) score moving up again from 726 to 740.

We are proud that we have had significant increases each of the last four years! - BCCHS enrollment has increased to 3,218 students for the 2013-2014 school year. - BCCHS was voted once again as the "Readers Choice Favorite Charter High School" by the Daily News in the 2013-2014 school year! - BCCHS has a strong School of Advanced Studies (SAS) which offers multiple dual credit College Courses for our most advanced students who seek rigorous academic challenges in grades 9-12. - BCCHS has Credit Recovery offered before, during, and after school. - BCCHS has free Tutoring offered before and after school from our Teachers. - BCCHS has a Summer School program available and will open a Freshmen Transition Program this year. - BCCHS offers a regular six period day in addition to optional Zero and Seventh Period classes. - BCCHS has numerous opportunities in extra-curricular activities (Sports, Music, Arts, and Clubs.) In fact our Sports Program is the largest in the valley! - BCCHS has a strong International Student Program with exchange students from 6 countries. - BCCHS has invested over $\$ 1,000,000.00$ in new Technology Tools and a Medical Lab to prepare our students for college and career opportunities in their futures. - BCCHS will be investing approximately $\$ 100,000.00$ to install a Science - Technology - Engineering - Mathematics lab in the coming year. - BCCHS has a wonderful teaching and support staff who are dedicated. They work very hard to provide a fantastic education for every student, in a Title 1 school that is a beautiful, safe, clean, and secure environment! As you can see, our secret is getting out and the above achievements are resulting in our increasing enrollments. Parents and interested students, I caution you that as part of our Charter Agreement will be implementing an ENROLLMENT LOTTERY this coming year. It is imperative that in mid-January and early February those families who are interested in enrolling new students at BCCHS for the 2014-2015 school year must contact the school to receive the paperwork needed to become eligible for the lottery process. Patriot Pride is being a part of our growing and successful family! We hope to see you soon, and anyone may visit our beautiful campus and/or visit our programs in person by simply arranging an appointment!


Bill Parks
CEO/Principal
818-758-5200

## Contact

17000 Haynes St.
Van Nuys, CA
91406-5420

Phone: 818-758-5200
E-mail: b.parks@birminghamcharter.com


## About This School

## Contact Information - Most Recent Year

| School |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Name | Birmingham Community Charter High |
| Street | 17000 Haynes St. |
| City, State, Zip | Van Nuys, Ca, 91406-5420 |
| Phone Number | 818-758-5200 |
| Principal | Bill Parks, Principal |
| E-mail Address | b.parks@birminghamcharter.com |
| Web Site | www.lausd.k12.ca.us/birmingham hs |
| County-District- <br> School (CDS) Code |  |


| District |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| District Name | Los Angeles Unified |
| Phone Number | (213) 241-1000 |
| Web Site | www.lausd.net |
| Superintendent <br> First Name | Ramon |
| Superintendent <br> Last Name | Cortines |
| E-mail Address | ramon.cortines@lausd.net |

## School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Birmingham Community Charter High School, serving grades 9-12, strives for academic excellence, articulation between grade levels, and stability and continuity in our community. Our student body in 2013-2014 is ethnically, racially, linguistically, culturally, and economically diverse and represents over 95 zip codes in LAUSD.

Students at BCCHS receive a rigorous education that prepares them for post-secondary academic and career goals. Students are active participants in the BCCHS community and graduate college-prepared and career ready, implementing the skills and knowledge they have gained during their four years of secondary education at BCCHS. BCCHS enables pupils to become self-motivated, competent, lifelong learners by reaching quantitative goals in the areas of improved literacy \& numeracy. We develop enhanced skills in analyzing, synthesizing, drawing conclusions, accessing information, determining credibility, evaluating information, problem-solving, and using critical and creative thinking. We design and implement systems to help our students develop resiliency and internal gratification mechanisms which assist in addressing the needs of all students, including special populations of underachieving, gifted children, English Language Learners, children in foster care, economically-disadvantaged students and those students facing other barriers to educational achievement.

The mission of BCCHS is to prepare students for college and careers with a comprehensive educational program that provides an innovative learning experience.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)

| Grade Level | Number of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grade 8 | 1 |
| Grade 9 | 893 |
| Grade 10 | 868 |
| Grade 11 | 681 |
| Grade 12 | 702 |
| Total Enrollment | 3145 |



Last updated: 1/20/2015

## Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2013-14)

| Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: |
| Black or African American | 6.5 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.3 |
| Asian | 1.6 |
| Filipino | 2.2 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 81.1 |
| Native Haw aiian or Pacific Islander | 0.3 |
| White | 7.3 |
| Two or More Races | 0.7 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 87.0 |
| English Learners | 13.2 |
| Students with Disabilities | 12.2 |



## A. Conditions of Learning

## State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.


## Teacher Credentials

| Teachers |  | School | District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 -}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 -}$ |
|  | 125 | $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ | 133 |  |
| With Full Credential | 7 | 3 | 6 |  |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Teachers Teaching Outside Subject <br> Area of Competence (with full <br> credential) |  |  |  |  |
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## Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | 2012- <br> $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 2014- <br> $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English <br> Learners | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments* | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 2 | 5 | 3 |



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.


## Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

| Location of Classes | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by <br> Highly Qualified Teachers | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by <br> Highly Qualified Teachers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| This School | 99 | 2 |
| All Schools in District | 89 | 11 |
| High-Poverty Schools <br> in District | 92 | 8 |
| Low-Poverty Schools <br> in District | 52 | 48 |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Lowpoverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2014

| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts | English 9: "Literature: Gold Level" <br> English 10: "Literature: Platinum Level" <br> English 11: "Literature: The American Experience" <br> ERWC: "50 Essays: A Portable Anthology" <br> AP English Literature: "Literature: Structure, Sound, and Sense" <br> AP English Language: "The Language of Composition: Reading, Writing, Rhetoric" <br> Journalism: "Journalism Today" |  | 0.0 |
| Mathematics | Algebra 1: "Algebra 1: Concepts, Skills, and Problem Solving" <br> Algebra 2: "Algebra and Trigonometry: Structure and Method- Book 2" <br> Discrete Math: "For All Practical Purposes" <br> Geometry: "Geometry: Concepts and Applications" <br> Trigonomtry/Precalculus: "Precalculus: Enhanced with Graphing Utilities" <br> Trigonomtry/Precalculus: "Precalculus: With Unit-Circle Trigonometry" <br> AP Statistics: "Stats: Modeling the World" |  | 0.0 |
| Science | Biology: "Biology" <br> Chemistry: "Chemistry" <br> AP Chemistry: "Chemistry" <br> Astronomy: "Discovering the Universe" <br> Physical Geology: "Earth Science" <br> Physiology: "Essentials of Human Anatomy \& Physiology" <br> Forensic Science: "Forensic Science for High School" <br> Sports Medicine: "Introduction to Sports Medicine and Athlete Training" <br> AP Environmental Science: "Living in the Environment" |  | 0.0 |

Physics: "Physics: Principles and Problems"
History-Social Science European History: "A History of Western Society"
AP Government: "American Government"
Government: "American Government"
Economics: "Economics: Principles \& Practices"
AP Human Geography: "Human Geography: People, Place,
and Culture"
AP Psychology: "Psychology: Themes and Variations"
Intro to Sociology: "Sociology: The Study of Human
Relationships"

The BCCHS campus is annually recognized as being one of the cleanest and most aesthetically pleasing facilities in the San Fernando Valley. BCCHS resides on the 76 acres and 60 year old facility formerly recognized as Birmingham High School of Los Angeles Unified School District. A prioritization of repairs and maintenance is done at an approximate investment of $\$ 1$ million per year. The most significant improvement at the site consists of $\$ 2.5$ million in technology and technology infrastructure for both wireless and local area networks.

## School Facility Good Repair Status - Most Recent Year

| System Inspected | Rating |
| :--- | :--- |
| Repair Needed and <br> Action Taken or <br> Planned |  |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, <br> Sewer | Fair |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | Fair |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, <br> Pest/Vermin Infestation | Good |
| Electrical: Electrical | Good |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, <br> Sinks/Fountains | Good |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | Good |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | Fair |
| External: Playground/School Grounds,  <br> Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences Fair |  |

## Overall Facility Rate - Most Recent Year

## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress/ Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science - Three-Year Comparison

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) | 46 | 42 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 52 | 60 | 59 | 60 |

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA).
Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.
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California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

|  | Group |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Students in the LEA | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |
| All Students at the School | 52 |
| Male | 50 |
| Female | 55 |
| Black or African American | 43 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 38 |
| Asian | 62 |
| Filipino | 67 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 48 |
| Native Haw aiian or Pacific Islander | 66 |
| White | 48 |
| Two or More Races | 10 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 15 |
| English Learners |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services |  |

Note: Science assessments include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades 5, 8, and 10 .
Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| English-Language Arts | 43\% | 44\% | 45\% | 44\% | 48\% | 47\% | 54\% | 56\% | 55\% |
| Mathematics | 13\% | 13\% | 17\% | 43\% | 44\% | 45\% | 49\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| History-Social Science | 37\% | 35\% | 35\% | 37\% | 39\% | 40\% | 48\% | 49\% | 49\% |

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.
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## Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

|  | API Rank | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Statewide | 3 | 4 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| Similar Schools | 7 | 6 | 4 |

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

| Group | Actual API Change 2011 | Actual API Change 2012 | Actual API Change 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students at the School | 43 | 29 | 12 |
| Black or African American | 70 | 29 | 16 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |  |
| Filipino |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 42 | 34 | 12 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  |  |  |
| White | 32 | 2 | 8 |
| Two or More Races |  |  |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 27 | 6 | 38 |
| English Learners | 72 | 51 | -61 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 107 | 29 |

Note: " $N / \mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}$ means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

## 1. Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and/or preparation for work.

- Theatre Ensemble
- Theater Company
- Theater Design Concepts
- Computer Literacy
- It Essentials
- Computer Network Management
- Work Experience

2. How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement.

Theater Ensemble, Theater Company, and Theater Design Concepts are all cored with English and History classes.

Computer Literacy class helps support academic achievement by familiarizing students with basic computer skills and programs (ex: word and excel) necessary to be successful in typing papers, doing research, and completing projects for their academic classes.

The other CTE courses are not integrated with any academic courses; however, students who are cored in 3 classes have the benefit of getting to know their classmates better than the average student, which can make it easier for them to collaborate on assignments they are given in all three classes. This aligns with common core standards and our instructional framework which has an emphasis on student-centered, collaborative learning.
3. How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students.

The school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work by offering a weekly advisory class with lessons dedicated to career readiness, such as creating a resume and cover letter, interviewing skills, career interest surveys, and career exploration activities. These advisories are offered to all students on campus including special populations such as English Learners, Special Education, and BOC (continuation).

The school also addresses the needs of all students in career and work preparation by having a full time career counselor accessible to all students on the campus to assist with job searches, creating resumes, filling out applications, career interest surveys, career exploration, helping students find internships/job shadow opportunities, bringing career speakers to campus, signing students up for ROP or Occupational Center classes, advertising local career related field trips, job fairs, and events in the community.

## 4. The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated.

At this time we do not have a student tracking system in place to know which students pursued careers related to the CTE course they took.

During the 2013/14 school year approximately $33 \%$ of all students enrolled in the Computer Literacy course were certified in both word and excel. The projected certification outcome for the 2014/15 enrolled students is the same.

In the 14-15 school year, the Computer Network Management course will be evaluated based on the number of students who complete the course with a C or higher at the end of the school year. These students will receive college credit from the course at Pierce College. This was not a measure during the $13 / 14$ school year.

Outcome of the Theater program: Both the Theater Ensemble and Theater Company courses help to prepare students for their capstone tech theater course that they will take their senior year. Tech theater students focus on one or more areas including: Set Construction, Painting, Costumes \& Make-up, and/or Lighting and Sound. Students learn basic skills in their area of focus and then apply those skills by producing the tech for our school plays and musicals. Students receive hands on training by visiting artists and mentors to help them gain skills in the specific areas of Tech Theater they apply to the plays and musicals. Tech theater students also visit studios, sets, and costume shops to help them gain more knowledge in their tech training.
5. State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee.

We do not have a CTE advisory committee; however, BCCHS has a partnership with Los Angeles Community College District.

## Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2013-14)

|  | Measure |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of pupils participating in CTE | CTE Program Participation |
| Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma | 547 |
| Percent of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education | 21.0 |

## Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| $2013-14$ Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 74.7 |
| $2012-13$ Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 10.5 |

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students - Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| English-Language Arts | 49\% | 49\% | 46\% | 45\% | 49\% | 47\% | 56\% | 57\% | 56\% |
| Mathematics | 51\% | 48\% | 53\% | 50\% | 54\% | 56\% | 58\% | 60\% | 62\% |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

English-Language Arts Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced


Mathematics Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced


California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group (School Year 2013-14) (if applicable)

|  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.
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## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

|  |  | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade level | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N |  |
| 9 | $16.9 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ |  |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement - Most Recent Year

- Parent Teacher Student Association (PTSA)
- Title I Council
- English Language Learners Council
- School Site Council
- Pique Parenting Classes
- ESL Classes
- Parent Representative on the Board


## State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

| Indicator | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Dropout Rate | 9.4 | 7.1 | 9.0 | 22.6 | 20.3 | 17.2 | 14.7 | 13.1 | 11.4 |
| Graduation Rate | 84.53 | 89.63 | 85.39 | 64.75 | 66.63 | 68.07 | 77.14 | 78.87 | 80.44 |



## Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

| Group | Graduating Class of 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State |
| All Students | 84 | 82 | 84 |
| Black or African American | 88 | 77 | 75 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 100 | 76 | 77 |
| Asian | 100 | 90 | 92 |
| Filipino | 100 | 90 | 92 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 81 | 83 | 80 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 80 | 83 | 84 |
| White | 89 | 87 | 90 |
| Two or More Races | 300 | 93 | 89 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 85 | 94 | 82 |
| English Learners | 50 | 46 | 53 |
| Students with Disabilities | 59 | 47 | 60 |

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.


## Suspensions and Expulsions

|  |  | School |  | District |  | State |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| Suspensions | 8.20 | 5.00 | 4.10 | 2.70 | 1.70 | 1.30 | 5.70 | 5.10 | 4.40 |
| Expulsions | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
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## School Safety Plan - Most Recent Year

The school's comprehensive safety plan was last reviewed and approved by the school site council on $10 / 21 / 2014$, and by the Board on 10/25/2014. It was discussed with the school's faculty on October 6, 2014.

Our school's Comprehensive Safe School Plan has 3 parts and the following describes the key elements of the plan:

- Volume I contains policy and procedures, such as child abuse, sexual harassment, Uniform Complaint Procedures, expulsion and suspension data, etc.
- Volume II contains emergency information, such as emergency organization chart with job definitions, evacuation plan and map, descriptions of different procedures for natural or unnatural disasters/emergencies (earthquakes, floods, bomb threats, etc)
- Volume III is the school's Intervention \& Recovery Plan after a disaster.
- The administrators and some members of the Search and Rescue Team, School Site Council, and the Board have been trained in SEMS and NEMS.
- Employees are trained on the safe use of a golf cart
- Employees will be trained on the use of a fire extinguisher
- Some employees are CPI trained.


## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

|  | AYP Criteria | School |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Made AYP Overall |  | District |
| Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts | No |  |
| Met Participation Rate - Mathematics | Yes |  |
| Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts | N/A |  |
| Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics | Yes |  |
| Met Graduation Rate | No |  |
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## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

|  | Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status | In PI |  |  |
| First Year of Program Improvement | $2009-2010$ | In PI |  |
| Year in Program Improvement * | Year 5 |  |  |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | N/A |  |  |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | N/A |  |  |

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.


## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

|  | 2011-12 |  |  |  | 2012-13 |  |  |  | 2013-14 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of Classes * |  |  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  |
| Grade Level | Average Class Size | 1-20 | 21-32 | $33+$ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Last updated: 1/20/2015

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | 2011-12 |  |  |  | 2012-13 |  |  |  | 2013-14 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  |
|  |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | $33+$ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English | 30.5 | 12 | 25 | 44 | 86.0 | 3 | 6 | 26 | 90.0 | 4 | 4 | 31 |
| Mathematics | 34.1 | 4 | 25 | 39 | 91.0 | 5 | 6 | 18 | 80.0 | 4 | 9 | 23 |
| Science | 32.7 | 4 | 17 | 37 | 93.0 | 2 |  | 24 | 62.0 | 6 | 10 | 25 |
| Social Science | 32.8 | 7 | 12 | 41 | 79.0 | 3 |  | 29 | 62.0 | 5 | 8 | 25 |

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.


## Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

| Title | Number of FTE* Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Academic Counselor | 7.0 | 453.0 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 2.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Teacher (librarian) | 0.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional) | 1.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Psychologist | 2.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Worker | 2.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Nurse | 1.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 1.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) | 8.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Other |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

|  |  |  | Expenditures Per <br> Pupil |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level | Total Expenditures Per <br> Pupil | Expenditures Per Pupil <br> (Supplemental/Restricted) | Average Teacher <br> Salary |
| School Site | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{Nasic/} \mathrm{Unrestricted)}$ |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

- SES Program for additional tutoring after school.
- In-house tutoring available before and after school with credentialed BCCHS content specific teachers.
- Concentrated ESL Programs
- Comprehensive SPED Program
- Full time college and career counselors
- Parent Education
- Parent Center


## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

| Category | District Amount | State Average For Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 39,788$ | $\$ 41,761$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 63,553$ | $\$ 66,895$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 78,906$ | $\$ 86,565$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | $\$ 104,385$ | $\$ 108,011$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | $\$ 114,264$ | $\$ 113,058$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 115,542$ | $\$ 123,217$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 330,000$ | $\$ 227,183$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $35.0 \%$ | $38,0 \%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/ .


Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2013-14)

| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Computer Science | 5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Foreign Language | 3 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Mathematics | 3 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Science | 10 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Science | 25 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| All Courses | 0 | 0.5 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*W here there are student course enrollments.

## Professional Development - Most Recent Three Years

1. The number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.
-2014-15: 6 days

- 2013-14: 5 days
- 2012-13: 3 days

2. What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specificially how were they selected? For example, was student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?

There are two primary areas of focus for professional development that was selected based on student achievement data, CELDT, CAHSEE, CST, EAP, and SAT performance, AP passage rates, and course fail rates:

1) Direct Interactive Instruction: This was the focus of PD to develop common instructional techniques based on California's list for approved, research based methods to address the needs of our targeted subgroups (EL, Title 1, and Special Education) that have traditionally underperformed and failed to meet academic performance indexes.
2) College and career advisory classes: This focus of our PD and academic program aligns with the mission and vision of the school as well as the focus of the state, which expects all students to be college and career ready upon graduation from high school.
3. What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?

- School-wide, department, and content specific training
- Individual coaching including co-planning and co-teaching activities
- All day workshops
- Before and after school whole faculty, department, or small collaborative group workshops
- Conferences
- Opportunities for individual certificated and classified staff to self-select professional development with administrative approval to allow for personal and individualized growth and development
- Teachers of the same content and grade level meet once per week in collaborative groups.

4. How are the teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

- In-class coaching
- New teacher cadre
- Instructional Rounds to monitor school-wide implementation of the five primary components of Direct Interactive Instruction and inform administration on the areas of continued need for professional development
- Discussions regarding student performance data reports occur at the department, leadership, administrative, and board levels
- Routine meetings as an entire faculty, department, and small learning communities
- Additional meetings to determine the support needs of teachers occurs at monthly leadership team meetings, weekly department chair and small learning community meetings with administration

